UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,731	09/11/2000	Petri Jolma	854.0022.U1(US) 4528	
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 05/22/2007 N & SMITH, PC		EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/658,731	JOLMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sharad Rampuria	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pr	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
_	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected to by the frawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

I. The Art Unit location of this application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

II. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/16/2007 has been entered.

Disposition of the claims

III. The current office-action is in response to the RCE filed on 02/16/2007.

Accordingly, Claims 3, 10, are canceled, thus, Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-15 are pending for further examination as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- IV. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such

Application/Control Number: 09/658,731

Art Unit: 2617

that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US 5831976) in view of Iseyama (US 5787346).

Regarding claim 1, Lin disclosed A method of allocating communication channels in a communication system comprising a plurality of base stations (116; Fig.1, abstract) each for communicating with at least one mobile station (111; Fig.1), the base stations capable of communicating via any of a predetermined group of channels, and some of the base stations being susceptible of being interfered with by other of the base stations in some of the channels of said group of channels (Col.5; 14-58), the method comprising the steps of:

Lin fails to disclose predetermining, for each base station, a classification for each channel according to the probability of interference at the channel with other base stations of the plurality of base stations; assigning as owned by said each base station and as avoided by said other base stations a channel in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station; assigning as owned by said other base stations and as avoided by said each base station remaining channels in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station; and assigning as shared by said each base station and said other base station channels in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station if used simultaneously with said each base station and which are not assigned as owned by either. However, Iseyama teaches in an analogous art, that predetermining, for

each base station, a classification for each channel according to the probability of interference at the channel with other base stations of the plurality of base stations. (Col.7; 53-Col.8; 2) assigning as owned by said each base station and as avoided by said other base stations a channel in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station; (Col.7; 53-Col.8; 2) assigning as owned by said other base stations and as avoided by said each base station remaining channels in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station; (Col.7; 53-Col.8; 2) and assigning as shared by said each base station and said other base station channels in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station if used simultaneously with said each base station and which are not assigned as owned by either. (e.g. the radio base station control unit 18 refers to the management table 18a and checks to determine (a) whether the frequency F2 currently being used by the mobile station 11 is a shared assigned frequency and (b) whether this frequency has been assigned to another mobile station in another time slot; Col.7; 53-Col.8; 2) allocating on request a channel according to the predetermined classification and a desired quality class of transmission. (e.g. allocating; Col.7; 53-Col.8; 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Lin and Elliott including predetermining, for each base station, a classification for each channel according to the probability of interference at the channel with other base stations of the plurality of base stations; assigning as owned by said each base station and as avoided by said other base stations a channel in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station; assigning as owned by said other base stations and as avoided by said each base station remaining channels in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station; and assigning as shared by said each

base station and said other base station channels in which said other base stations interfere with said each base station if used simultaneously with said each base station and which are not assigned as owned by either in order to providing a radio channel assignment method in a TDMA-type mobile telecommunication system having a plurality of radio base stations to which common radio frequencies have been assigned, and a base-station control unit for controlling each of the radio base stations, wherein radio frequencies are shared with radio base stations, each radio frequency is assigned as a radio channel, on a per time-slot basis, to a mobile station, and a radio channel is specified by the radio frequency and the time-slot, characterized in that the base-station control unit: manages, with regard to each radio frequency, the number of a radio base station that is using this radio frequency, the number of a mobile station that is using this radio frequency in each time slot, and data indicating whether this radio frequency is a frequency that has been assigned for shared use with the radio base stations. (Col.5; 31-64)

Regarding claim 2, Lin disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein each said channel is a time slot. (Col.6; 26-37)

Regarding claim 4, Lin disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein: the communication system further includes a controller (112; Fig.2) connected to each base station; said predetermination for each base station is reported to the controller; and said allocating is performed in the controller. (Col.5; 14-25)

Regarding claim 5, Lin disclosed The method of claim 3, wherein: the communication system further includes a controller (112; Fig.2) connected to each base station; said predetermination for each base station is reported to the controller; said allocating is performed in the controller; and the controller maintains an indication of which channels are currently allocated for each base station. (Col.5; 14-25).

Regarding claim 6, Lin disclosed The method of claim 5, wherein: if neither an owned channel nor a shared channel of a first base station is available for a requested communication, the controller determines whether any avoided channel of the first base station is not in use by a second base station owning that channel, and if so, that channel is allocated for the requested communication. (Col.7; 7-24)

Regarding claim 7, Lin disclosed The method of claim 2 wherein the step of allocating is further according to location of a mobile station to be communicated with. (Col.6; 15-25)

Claims 8, 15 are the apparatus claims, corresponding to method claim 1 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

Regarding claim 9, Lin disclosed The apparatus of claim 8, wherein each said channel is a time slot. (Col.6; 26-37)

Regarding claim 11, Lin disclosed The apparatus of claim 8, further comprising a controller (112; Fig.2) connected to each base station and configured to: receive said predetermination for each base station is reported to the controller; and to be a portion of said logic unit for performing said allocating. (Col.5; 14-25).

Regarding claim 12, Lin disclosed The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the controller (112; Fig.2) maintains an indication of which channels are currently allocated for each base station. (Col.5; 14-25).

Regarding claim 13, Lin disclosed The apparatus of claim 12, wherein: if neither an owned channel nor a shared channel of a first base station is available for a requested communication, the controller is configured to determine whether any avoided channel of the first base station is not in use by a second base station owning that channel, and if so, to allocate that channel for the requested communication. (Col.7; 7-24)

Regarding claim 14, Lin disclosed The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the logic unit is configured to allocate a channel further according to location of a mobile station to be communicated with. (Col.6; 15-25)

Response to Amendments & Arguments

V. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-15 has been fully considered but is most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

VI. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC@uspto.gov.

Sharad Rampuria Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617